pH-Controlled Assembly of 3D and 2D Zinc-Based Metal-Organic Frameworks with Tetrazole Ligands
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ABSTRACT: We report the synthesis and structural diversity of Zn(II) metal-organic framework (MOF) with in situ formation of tetrazole ligand 3-ptz [3-ptz = 5-(3-pyridyl)tetrazolate] as a function pH. By varying the initial reaction pH, we obtain high-quality crystals of the noncentrosymmetric three-dimensional MOF Zn(3-ptz)2, mixed phases involving the zinc-aqua complex [Zn(H2O)(3-ptz)5]·4H2O, and two-dimensional MOF crystals Zn(OH)(3-ptz) with a tunable microrod morphology, keeping reaction time, temperature, and metal-ligand molar ratio constant. Structures are characterized by X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, and UV–vis spectroscopy. We discuss the observed structural diversity in terms of the relative abundance of hydroxo-zinc species in solution for different values of pH.

INTRODUCTION

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), a novel class of functional crystalline materials,1 have found a wide range of applications due to their favorable properties for gas storage and separation,2 sensing,3 energy storage,4 light harvesting,5 drug delivery,6 and nonlinear optics.7 Depending on the choice of organic ligands, metal nodes, and synthesis conditions, self-assembled MOF structures can have a rich variety of topologies and dimensionalities.8 Predicting the equilibrium MOF structures obtained by solvothermal synthesis9 is in general challenging due to the multiple parameters that determine the self-assembly process, such as metal–ligand molar ratio,10 reaction time and temperature,11 solvent polarity,12 and reaction pH.13 However, it is possible to activate or deactivate specific coordination modes of multidentate ligands by controlling the reaction pH, which is a robust and cost-effective way to manipulate the MOF self-assembly process.

Tetrazole-based ligands have attracted much attention in coordination chemistry due to their large number of coordination modes involving up to four nitrogen atoms,14 which can result in a large combination of possible MOF structures with different topologies and dimensionalities. Tetrazole derivatives have also excellent linear and nonlinear optical properties. For example, push–pull tetrazole complexes with both electron-donor and electron-acceptor substituents have shown very efficient second-order nonlinear optical activity in powder samples,15 ferroelectric behavior,16 and strong photoluminescence.17

Under hydrothermal conditions, pyridyl-tetrazole ligands exhibit six coordination modes and the pyridyl moiety can further coordinate to additional metal ions, giving rise to a potentially large number of MOF structures.18 Despite the multiple coordination modes available for pyridyl-tetrazole ligands, only nine MOF structures with zinc salts have been reported in situ ligand synthesis.18 One possible route to direct the self-assembly of MOF structures is by controlling the reaction pH, which in turn controls the relative abundance of distinct metal-ion species in solution. The relative abundance of hydroxo-zinc species at different pHs can promote certain MOF structures over others, by changing the available coordination modes of the metal ion, for fixed metal–ligand molar ratio and hydrothermal conditions.

In this work, we use the initial reaction pH as a control variable to drive the synthesis of different types of zinc-based metal-organic frameworks and mononuclear complexes with in situ formation of pyridyl-tetrazole ligands, as well as zinc oxide. We discuss the crystal structure and morphology of the obtained materials and interpret the observed structural diversity in terms
72 of the relative abundance of different zinc species in solution as a function of pH.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1. Microscope images of the materials obtained at different initial pH values, together with the relative abundance of hydroxy-zinc majority species in aqueous solution at 25 °C.\textsuperscript{20} Acids environments result in either pure tetrahedral crystals Zn(3-ptz)\textsubscript{2} (3D MOF) or a mixed phase with zinc-tetra-aqua complex Zn(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{4}(3-ptz)\textsubscript{2}·4H\textsubscript{2}O (ZAC). Basic environments result in elongated crystals of Zn(OH)(3-ptz) (2D MOF) and zinc oxide (ZnO) for the highest pH values.

Figure 2. Structural analysis of the obtained materials as a function of pH. (a) X-ray powder diffraction patterns for five samples obtained at different initial pH values. Diffraction peaks are labeled according to the simulated pattern for Zn(3-ptz)\textsubscript{2} (3D MOF), Zn(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{4}(3-ptz)\textsubscript{2}·4H\textsubscript{2}O (ZAC), Zn(OH)(3-ptz) (2D MOF), and zinc oxide (ZnO). (b) Fourier transform infrared spectra for the same samples in (a).

\textsuperscript{21} of the relative abundance of different zinc species in solution as a function of pH.

For initial pH values exceeding 7.0, we obtain large quantities of thin, ultralong microrod structures that we identify below as a two-dimensional MOF (2D MOF). We obtain 2D MOF crystals with visibly larger microrod lengths at higher pH values in the range 10–12. Strongly basic environments (pH > 12) do not lead to MOF formation. Instead, we obtain large quantities of ZnO nanostructures.\textsuperscript{21}

Figure 2a,b shows the measured X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns and the Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra, respectively, of the materials obtained within the range of pH shown in Figure 1. For pH values in the lower range (pH < 9.0), we obtain highly pure crystals with a well-defined tetrahedral morphology, corresponding to the three-dimensional metal-organic framework (3D MOF) bis[5-(3-pyridyl)-tetrazolato]zinc(II) [Zn(3-ptz)\textsubscript{2}], as confirmed below by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD). The same Zn(3-ptz)\textsubscript{2} MOF forms also in the neutral pH range (pH 6.0–7.0), in addition to other zinc-tetrazole coordination structures that we describe below. For initial pH values exceeding 7.0, we obtain large quantities of thin, ultralong microrod structures that we identify below as a two-dimensional MOF (2D MOF). We obtain 2D MOF crystals with visibly larger microrod lengths at higher pH values in the range 10–12. Strongly basic environments (pH > 12) do not lead to MOF formation. Instead, we obtain large quantities of ZnO nanostructures.\textsuperscript{21}
In general, for the formation of zinc-based MOFs with in situ formation of 3-ptz ligands, the pH of the reaction must allow zinc species in solution to act as Lewis acid catalyzers of the reaction that forms 3-ptz from sodium azide and cyanoazide. The catalyzing character of the solvated metal complexes that form in our system is only inhibited under highly basic conditions. From the relative abundance of dissolved zinc hydroxide species in water, we expect the concentration of Zn(OH)$_2$ to grow at higher pH values, decreasing the ability of zinc(II) to catalyze the ligand formation reaction. For the range of pH values in which the zinc species Zn$^{2+}$, Zn(OH)$_2$ and Zn(OH)$_3^{2-}$ become more abundant, we expect the 3-ptz ligand to form in situ, due to the Lewis acid character of these zinc and zinc-hydroxo species.

The formation of a highly pure phase of Zn(3-ptz)$_2$ crystals under acidic conditions (Figure 2a, pH 4.1) is consistent with the dominant availability of the octahedral solvated Zn$^{2+}$ ions (strong Lewis acid) at the mixing temperature, which favors both in situ ligand formation and the tetrahedral symmetry of the 3D MOF assembly process. Steric effects associated with the size of the 3-ptz ligands lower the symmetry of the coordination sphere from octahedral to tetrahedral as water is displaced upon MOF assembly.

Increasing the pH closer to the neutral range (Figure 2a, pH 6.4) increases the fraction of Zn(OH)$_2$ species in solution relative to Zn$^{2+}$, promoting the formation of ZAC in a mixed phase with Zn(3-ptz)$_2$ under hydrothermal conditions. This mixed phase can be purified by filtering the Zn(3-ptz)$_2$ crystals at 105 °C after completion of the reaction time. Further increasing the pH beyond the neutral range (Figure 2a, pH 7.6, 10.3) results in a highly pure crystal phase with XDR patterns that match the reported MOF catena-[$(μ$_2$-3-(pyridyl)tetrazol-N,N',N")-(μ$_2$-hydroxo)-zinc]$_2$ $(\text{Zn(OH)}(3\text{-ptz}))_2$$_{15d}$ in which hydroxyl ligands along the c crystal axis bridge adjacent 2D zinc-tetrazole coordination networks on the a−b plane. Preferential growth along the c direction results in the quasi-one-dimensional (1D) rodlike morphology shown in Figure 1.

The formation of ZAC and 2D MOF structures in nonacidic environments can be partly understood in terms of the greater relative abundance of the solvated Zn(OH)$_2$(aq) and Zn(OH)$_3^{2-}$(aq) species at the mixing temperature. The strong Zn−OH coordination bond results in a solvated coordination sphere with lower symmetry than the octahedral coordination sphere of the hexa-aquo Zn$^{2+}$ ion, which is the dominant species at lower pH. The 3-ptz ligands thus prefer to coordinate with the zinc ion in directions orthogonal to the Zn−OH bond, minimizing steric effects, a bonding pattern exhibited in both ZAC$^{23}$ and 2D MOF$^{15d}$ crystals.

Figure 2b shows that there are pH-dependent infrared absorption features in the region 2500−4000 cm$^{-1}$. For lower pH values, a weak C−H stretch band at 3048 cm$^{-1}$ of the pyridine ring in Zn(3-ptz)$_2$ is clearly visible. However, in the pH range 6.0−7.0, where the zinc-tetra-aqua complex ZAC forms, the much broader and intense O−H stretch band associated with hydrogen bonding dominates that spectral region. In the pH range 7.0−12.0, the broad O−H band disappears in favor of a much sharper O−H stretch peak (3572 cm$^{-1}$) associated with free hydroxyl ligands in Zn(OH)(3-ptz). In summary, the FTIR spectra confirm the reported bands for pyridyl-tetrazole$^{15c,d}$ below pH 12.0. For pH above 12.0, we obtain the characteristic spectra of ZnO$_2^{23,24}$.

Figure 3a shows a representative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of Zn(3-ptz)$_2$ MOF crystals obtained with high purity in the lower pH range, exhibiting well-defined tetragonal-shaped crystals with size varying in the range of 100−200 μm. The magnified image in Figure 3b of the same sample shows the growth of smaller nanostructures on the surface of the largest MOF crystallites.

Figure 4 shows representative SEM images of the material obtained in the neutral pH range, featuring smaller and less...
defined crystallites than those obtained at lower pH. We discussed above that this pH range gives mixed phases of Zn(3-ptz) and the tetra-aqua complex ZAC. Figure 4a–d shows that the presence of tetra-aqua complex affects the superlateral growth and hence the morphology of the Zn(3-ptz) MOF crystals. We find that by filtering the reaction products at 105 °C, immediately after completion of the reaction, it is possible to separate the desired 3D MOF Zn(3-ptz) from the tetra-aqua byproduct efficiently, as shown in Figure 4e,f. From the reaction yield upon filtering, we estimate that at least twice as much of zinc ions are involved in the formation of the 3D MOF crystal in comparison to the tetra-aqua complex at 105 °C. Following the reported synthetic procedure without hot filtration, an equilibrium is established between the zinc-tetra-aqua complex and Zn(3-ptz) MOF upon cooling to room temperature, giving the mixed-phase XRD pattern in Figure 4d.

Figure 5 shows representative SEM images of the materials obtained in basic initial environments. This pH range gives a pure phase of 2D MOF Zn(OH)(3-ptz). For the most moderate alkaline initial conditions (pH 7.6), Figure 5a,c shows the growth of relatively long microrods with lengths varying in the range of 300–400 μm, and widths in the range of 1.5–2.0 μm. Magnified images exhibit the growth of large quantities of comparatively smaller crystals with sizes on the order of 2 μm or less on the surface of the long microrod structures. Figure 5b,d shows that for pH 10.3 the nucleation rate is much higher, as we observe large amounts of smaller microrod 2D MOF structures with a broad length distribution in the range of 1–10 μm. The observed microrod morphology of MOF Zn(OH)(3-ptz) is consistent with the Bravais–Friedel–Donnay–Harker (BFDH) morphology predictions shown in Figure 6. Despite the 2D coordination topology, the 3D (rodlike) morphology of the crystal results from the preferred growth in the direction orthogonal to the coordination planes. Microrods can form by preferential growth in the c direction, likely due to the self-assembly process between zinc hydroxide species coordinating to (1194 cm⁻¹) relative to the protonated ligand spectrum and also becomes more intense. This behavior may indicate a possible electrostatic interaction between the ligand transition dipole moments in the solid phase.

Figure 6. Morphology of 2D MOF structure Zn(OH)(3-ptz) obtained by BFDH calculations. Zn (green), N (light blue), C (gray), O (red), and H (white).

Figure 7 shows the room-temperature solid-state UV–vis absorption spectra of 3D MOF Zn(3-ptz) representative of the samples obtained in the lower pH range. The 3D MOF crystal does not absorb in the visible region because zinc ions in the framework have a closed shell (d¹⁰). Density functional theory (DFT) calculations confirm that the absorption spectrum of the mononuclear complex Zn(3-ptz) is dominated by π–π* transitions in the tetrazole ligand 3-ptz. For comparison, Figure 7 also shows the absorption spectrum of protonated pyridyl-tetrazole ligand 3-Hptz in aqueous solution. In the 3D MOF crystal, the lowest-energy π–π transition is red-shifted by 9 nm (1194 cm⁻¹) relative to the protonated ligand spectrum and also becomes more intense. This behavior may indicate a possible electrostatic interaction between the ligand transition dipole moments in the solid phase.
We explore the structural diversity of zinc-tetrazole metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) with in situ ligand formation by modifying the initial pH of the reaction mixture. Optimal yield and high purity of the noncentrosymmetric 3D MOF structure \( \text{Zn(3-ptz)}_2 \) \( \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) \( \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) was obtained under acidic conditions (pH ≈ 4). Alkaline environments strongly favor the assembly of the 2D MOF structure \( \text{Zn(OH)}(3\text{-ptz})_2 \) whose rodlike crystal morphology can be manipulated by changing the initial reaction pH within the range 7.5–11.0. The synthesis carried out without initial pH manipulation (pH = 6.4) gives a mixed phase of the 3D MOF \( \text{Zn(3-ptz)}_2 \) and the zinctetraqua complex \( [\text{Zn(3-ptz)}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O})_4]^{4-}\text{H}_2\text{O} \) which can be efficiently separated by high-temperature filtration. Although the solvothermal syntheses of \( \text{Zn(3-ptz)}_2 \) and \( \text{Zn(3-ptz)} \) metal-organic frameworks has been previously reported by varying the reaction time and temperature, \( \text{Zn(3-ptz)}_2 \) we show for the first time that it is possible to obtain these MOFs in high purity by simply varying the mixing pH of the reactants.

We understand the role of pH throughout our experiments as a modulator of the ligand formation reaction and MOF assembly process. The observed pH-dependent structural diversity is related to the relative abundance of solvated zinc-hydroxo complexes of the form \( [\text{Zn(OH)}_2]^{n-} \) in aqueous solution, \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) or the solvated \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) ion the Lewis acid with the best reported catalytic behavior for the in situ tetrazole ligand formation. \( \text{Zn(OH)}(3\text{-ptz})_2 \) and \( \text{Zn(3-ptz)}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{Zn(3-ptz)}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \) in nonacidic environments to the presence of strong \( \text{Zn}–\text{OH} \) bonds in the solvated zinc-hydroxo coordination sphere, which force the 3-ptz ligands to coordinate zinc ions orthogonal to the \( \text{Zn}–\text{OH} \) direction. We can expect that the relative abundance of metal-hydroxo complexes in solution could also be used as a strategy to explore the structural diversity of other metal-organic frameworks with metal-catalyzed in situ formation of tetrazole ligands. Cadmium-based structures are promising candidates to explore, given their proven potential to catalyze the Demko–Sharpless ligand formation reaction.

In summary, our results show that manipulating the initial reaction pH can be an economical route to engineer the assembly of noncentrosymmetric zinc-tetrazole MOF structures, which may become advantageous for future development of MOF-based nonlinear crystals for applications in optical communication.

The in situ synthesis of tetrazole ligands was done according to the Demko–Sharpless method. All of the reactants and chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and utilized without any further purification. A mixture of 3-cyanopyridine (4 mmol), \( \text{NaNO}_3 \) (6 mmol), and \( \text{ZnCl}_2 \) (2 mmol) was dissolved in 6 mL of distilled water. This mixture was transferred into a glass bottle and then put in a box furnace at a high temperature of 105 °C for 24 h. The pH value was adjusted by using \( \text{HNO}_3 \) (66%) or \( \text{KOH} \) (18.8 M) solutions immediately after mixing the reactants (initial pH) and measured with a pH meter (pH 2700 Oakton).

The as-synthesized materials were taken out of the furnace after 24 h, filtered, and dried at room temperature prior to the structural analysis. Powder X-ray diffraction analysis was done using a X Shimadzu XRD 6000 diffractometer with Cu Kα (\( \lambda = 1.5418 \) Å) radiation for structural characterization and phase determination. Microstructural characterizations of the synthesized materials were done by an optical microscope and a scanning electron microscope (Zeiss EVO MA10). UV–vis solid spectra were obtained at room temperature using a PerkinElmer Lambda 1050 Wideband UV–vis–NIR spectrophotometer. IR spectra (400–4000 cm\(^{-1}\)) of the compounds were obtained using a Jasco FTIR-4600 spectrophotometer equipped with an ATR PRO ONE.

Bravais–Friedel–Donnay–Harker (BFDH) theoretical crystal morphology analyses were done with commercial software (Mercury), from the cif file in ref 15d. DFT calculations of the mononuclear \( [\text{Zn(3-ptz)}] \) complexes were carried out with commercial software (Gaussian) using hybrid functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE0) to represent the electron density. A triple-\( \zeta \)-basis set for H, C, N, and O atoms, including a polarization function for nonhydrogen atoms, was employed. In all calculations, 15 singlet excitations were considered.
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